

CH. 6 PROOF BY CONTRADICTION

ex. Proposition. THERE ARE NO INTEGERS $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ SUCH THAT $51a + 87b = 1$.



PROOF BY CONTRADICTION:

- ① ASSUME THE STATEMENT IS FALSE.
- ② FORM LOGICAL ARGUMENT TO CONCLUDE SOMETHING KNOWN TO BE FALSE (CONTRADICTION)
- ③ THE ASSUMPTION CANNOT BE FALSE. THEREFORE ASSUMPTION IS TRUE.

- PROOF.
- ① ASSUME THERE ARE INTEGERS $a \neq b$ SUCH THAT $51a + 87b = 1$.
 - ② THEN $3(17a + 29b) = 1$ AND $17a + 29b = \frac{1}{3}$.
SINCE $17a + 29b \in \mathbb{Z}$, IT FOLLOWS THAT $\frac{1}{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - ③ THIS CONTRADICTS THE FACT THAT $\frac{1}{3}$ IS NOT AN INTEGER.
THEREFORE, OUR ASSUMPTION THAT ARE INTEGERS $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ SUCH THAT $51a + 87b = 1$ MUST BE FALSE. ■

CONTRADICTION : NEVER TRUE

$P \ \& \ \sim P \Rightarrow (Q \wedge \sim Q)$

ARE LOGICALLY EQUIVALENT

\Leftrightarrow

CONTRAPOSITIVE

$$\sim(Q \wedge \sim Q) \Rightarrow \sim(\sim P)$$

$$(\sim Q \vee Q) \Rightarrow P$$

ALWAYS TRUE

P	Q	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$Q \wedge \sim Q$	$\sim P \Rightarrow (Q \wedge \sim Q)$
T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F

Def: $x \in \mathbb{R}$ IS RATIONAL IF $x = \frac{a}{b}$ FOR SOME $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

THE SET OF RATIONAL NUMBERS IS

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : x = \frac{a}{b}, \text{ WITH } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$ IS IRRATIONAL IF $x \neq \frac{a}{b}$ FOR ANY $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

ex. THEOREM. $\sqrt{2}$ IS IRRATIONAL.

PROOF. ASSUME, FOR THE SAKE OF CONTRADICTION, THAT $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$.
BY DEFINITION, $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$.

WITHOUT LOSS OF GENERALITY, LET $\frac{a}{b}$ BE REDUCED.
IN PARTICULAR, a & b ARE NOT BOTH EVEN.

THEN $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b} \Rightarrow \sqrt{2}b = a \Rightarrow 2b^2 = a^2$.
THUS a^2 IS EVEN, AND SINCE THE PRODUCT OF TWO ODD NUMBERS IS ODD,
IT MUST BE THAT a IS EVEN. LET $a = 2x$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

THEN $2b^2 = (2x)^2 = 4x^2 \Rightarrow b^2 = 2x^2$.
THUS b^2 IS EVEN, AND SO b MUST BE EVEN.
LET $b = 2y$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

THEREFORE BOTH a & b ARE EVEN, AND $\frac{a}{b}$ IS NOT REDUCED.
THIS CONTRADICTS THE FACT THAT $\frac{a}{b}$ IS REDUCED.

THUS, OUR ASSUMPTION THAT $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ MUST BE FALSE.
THAT IS, $\sqrt{2}$ IS IRRATIONAL. ■

ex. THEOREM. THERE ARE INFINITELY MANY PRIME NUMBERS.

PROOF. ASSUME, FOR THE SAKE OF CONTRADICTION, THAT THERE ARE FINITELY MANY
PRIME NUMBERS $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

SET $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

LIKE ALL NATURAL NUMBERS GREATER THAN 1, a HAS AT LEAST 1
PRIME DIVISOR, SAY p_k .

THEN $a = p_k x$ FOR SOME $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

WE HAVE $p_k x = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{k-1} p_k p_{k+1} \dots p_n + 1$

$\Rightarrow x = p_1 p_2 \dots p_{k-1} p_{k+1} \dots p_n + 1$

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p_k} = x - p_1 p_2 \dots p_{k-1} p_{k+1} \dots p_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

BUT $\frac{1}{p_k}$ CANNOT BE AN INTEGER. $\Rightarrow \times \Leftarrow$ ■

PROVING CONDITIONAL STATEMENTS BY CONTRADICTION

Proof: $P \Rightarrow Q$.

PROOF: ASSUME $\sim(P \Rightarrow Q)$, i.e. $P \wedge \sim Q$.

⋮

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ ■

ex. 16. If a and b are positive real numbers, then $a + b \geq 2\sqrt{ab}$.

PROOF: (CONTRADICTION) ASSUME a, b POSITIVE REAL NUMBERS & $a + b < 2\sqrt{ab}$.

$$\text{THEN } \sqrt{a}^2 - 2\sqrt{a}\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{b}^2 < 0$$

$$\text{THAT IS, } (\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 < 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ ■

ex. 9. Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If a is rational and ab is irrational, then b is irrational.

PROOF: (CONTRADICTION) ASSUME $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ AND $ab \notin \mathbb{Q}$ AND $b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

BY DEFINITION, $a = \frac{p}{q}$ AND $b = \frac{m}{n}$ FOR SOME $p, q, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{THEN } ab = \frac{p}{q} \cdot \frac{m}{n} = \frac{pm}{qn}.$$

SINCE $pm, qn \in \mathbb{Z}$, WE HAVE $ab \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ ■